

ATTACHMENT D

## ATTACHMENT D

**SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**

Planning Proposal: South Sydney Local Environmental Plan 1998 (Amendment No. 22) – 91-99 Eveleigh Street and 119-121 Eveleigh Street, Redfern.

Summary of Submissions

From	Summary of issues raised	Response
<i>Written submissions arising from Public Exhibition</i>		
<p>Chief Executive Officer Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council</p>	<p>Very supportive of the development and the rehousing of Aboriginal people in the area. Also supportive of the area being used for commercial purposes for the direct or indirect benefit of Aboriginal people.</p> <p>The Board is unanimous in its support of the project and looks forward to the Pemulwuy Project moving forward quickly.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
<p>General Manager Aboriginal Affairs NSW</p>	<p>No objection to the proposed reclassification and no further comment.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
<p>Resident of Caroline Street, Redfern</p>	<p>In principle agreement that the redevelopment/rehabilitation of 'The Block' is a good idea; it is currently visually unattractive and is not considered a nice place to live.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
<p>There appears to be limited information available regarding the 'land swap'. Would appreciate more information being given to residents.</p>		<p>Information regarding the proposed reclassification was made available during the public exhibition of the Planning Proposal from 9 August 2011 to 12 September 2011.</p>

		<p>The lead up to the public hearing and the public hearing itself gave residents further opportunities to enquire about the reclassification process.</p> <p>The land transfer is being undertaken through a separate process by the Properties Section of the City of Sydney. This will form the subject of a future report to Council.</p> <p>Written information to be provided to resident advising of the land transfer process.</p> <p>No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
	<p>Are there checks in place to ensure problems relating to housing in this area will be managed appropriately and transparently?</p>	<p>This issue is outside the scope of this Planning Proposal and will be dealt with through the Development Assessment process. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
	<p>Changes to roads in the area resulting in an increase in traffic would be unfair to people in the area.</p>	<p>This issue is outside the scope of this Planning Proposal and will be dealt with through the road closure process being undertaken by the Properties Section of the City of Sydney. This will form the subject of a future report to Council. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
	<p>Have noise impacts been taken into account considering the proposed number of new residents and students?</p>	<p>This issue is outside the scope of this Planning Proposal and will be dealt with through the Development Assessment process. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
	<p>Are Aboriginal Housing Company finances available for public viewing? Is the system open to corruption?</p>	<p>This issue is outside the scope of this Planning Proposal. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
	<p>Hope that progress is made quickly and with the full awareness of the local community.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>

<i>Written submissions made prior to Public Hearing</i>		
REDWatch	Further information regarding the public hearing process requested.	Response sent via email giving further information on the public hearing process. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.
<i>Oral Submissions made at Public Hearing</i>		
Resident	In favour of proposal in order to tidy up the blocks of land.	Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.
Resident	Raised concern that the proposed reclassification and subsequent transfer of the Council owned land would result in the loss of the children's playground currently located on the site.	The current children's playground is currently under-utilised. Although the reclassification will result in the removal of the children's playground, it is considered that the significant public domain improvements which form part of the Pemulwuy Project will benefit the broader community and outweigh this loss. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.
REDWatch	In support of the reclassification. It is a necessary step to deliver the Pemulwuy project. Particular support for the opening up of the 'top of the site' - the land reclassification and transfer is integral to this aspect of the development.  There is a lot of community support for the development and there is a danger in drawing out the process too long as Aboriginal residents have been moved out of the area to facilitate the redevelopment. As such it is important to get the development completed quickly.	Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.
Resident	In support of the reclassification as it facilitates the redevelopment. The revitalisation of the area is part of a broader community revitalisation.	Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.

<p>CEO, Aboriginal Housing Company</p>	<p>In support of the redevelopment, particularly as it will help in opening up the corridor from Redfern station and make for a more welcoming entrance of benefit to the whole community.</p> <p>The land transfer is no big deal, facilitates a very important development and will help with a facelift for an area with a very sad past.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
<p>Councillor Irene Doughty</p>	<p>The reclassification is a good step in a larger picture.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
<p>Resident</p>	<p>The proposed reclassification is a positive step for a development that has taken a long time to eventuate.</p>	<p>Noted. No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>
<p>Unidentified attendee</p>	<p>Raised a number of concerns about the proposed redevelopment. An objection to the reclassification, while not explicitly stated, was implied.</p>	<p>Concerns relating to the redevelopment are outside the scope of this Planning Proposal.</p> <p>Objection to the reclassification is noted.</p> <p>No change to Planning Proposal recommended.</p>